MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP SUSAN D. RESLEY, Bar No. 161808 2 MADELEINE AYER, Bar No. 353257 susan.resley@morganlewis.com 3 madeleine.ayer@morganlewis.com FILED MAR 25 2024 OF One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, CA 94105 4 Tel: (415) 442-1000 5 (415) 442-1001 Fax: 6 **MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP** CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COUR MARTHA B. STOLLEY, Pending Pro Hac Vice NORTH DISTRICT OF CALIFORN martha.stolley@morganlewis.com 101 Park Avenue New York, NY 10178 8 (212) 309-6858 Tel: 9 (212) 309-6001 Fax: WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP 10 MICHAEL LI-MING WONG, Bar No. 194130 11 mlwong@willkie.com 333 Bush Street 12 San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 858-7400 Tel: (415) 858-7599 13 Fax: 14 Attorneys for Hewlett Packard, Inc. and Hewlett Packard Enterprise 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 17 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 18 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 3:18-cr-577-CRB 19 Plaintiff, DECLARATION OF SUSAN D. RESLEY IN 20 SUPPORT OF MOTION TO OUASH SUBPOENA ISSUED TO NON-PARTIES V. 21 HEWLETT PACKARD, INC. AND HEWLETT PACKARD ENTERPRISE MICHAEL RICHARD LYNCH and 22 STEPHEN KEITH CHAMBERLAIN, Date: April 8, 2024 4 p.m. 23 Defendants. Time: Place: Courtroom 6, 17th Floor 24 Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer 25 Date Filed: March 25, 2024 Trial Date: March 18, 2024 26 I, Susan D. Resley, declare: 27 1. I am a partner at Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, attorneys of record for non-28

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

DECLARATION OF SUSAN D. RESLEY Case No. 3:18-cr-577 (CRB) parties Hewlett Packard, Inc. and Hewlett Packard Enterprise (collectively "HP"). I am licensed to practice before all courts in the State of California and am admitted to practice before this Court. I am one of the attorneys who has been representing HP in connection with the Government's investigation into Autonomy's accounting and disclosure practices. I have personal knowledge of the following facts and, if called upon to do so, could and would testify thereto.

- 2. On March 5, 2024, counsel for Defendant Michael Richard Lynch ("Dr. Lynch") served on HP a Rule 17 Subpoena (the "Lynch Subpoena"). Attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** is a true and correct copy of the Lynch Subpoena.
- 3. HP has produced over one million pages of documents to the Department of Justice and the Securities and Exchange Commission over the course of their investigation concerning Autonomy, including nearly 16,000 pages supporting Christopher Yelland's summary charts and Autonomy's restatement. The Government has produced these 16,000 pages to Dr. Lynch, and we have provided Dr. Lynch's counsel with the Bates numbers of those documents.
- 4. On March 11, 2024, I participated in a meet-and-confer session with Dr. Lynch's counsel, Brian Heberlig of Steptoe LLP and Celeste Koeleveld of Clifford Chance LLP, in an effort to resolve disputes about the Lynch Subpoena before presentation to this Court. Dr. Lynch's counsel declined to modify, narrow, or withdraw the subpoena.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on this 25th day of March, 2024, at San Francisco, California.

Dated: March 25, 2024

By:

Susan D. Resley

EXHIBIT 1 TO DECLARATION OF SUSAN D. RESLEY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA ISSUED TO NON-PARTY HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS OR OBJECTS IN A CRIMINAL CASE

Case No.: 3:18-cr-577-CRB

Michael Richard Lynch, Stephen Keith Chamberlain,

Defendant(s).

TO: Hewlett Packard, Inc. and Hewlett Packard Enterprise (collectively "HP")

YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce at the place, date, and time specified the document(s) or object(s) indicated below. If compliance would be unreasonable or oppressive, you may file a motion requesting the court to quash or modify the subpoena, to review the documents in camera, or to permit production only pursuant to a protective order.

| PLACE . | | | | COURTROOM/JUDGE |
|---|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| □ U.S. Courthouse | ☐ U.S. Courthouse | ☐ U.S. Courthouse | ☐ U.S. Courthouse | 6 / Breyer |
| 450 Golden Gate Ave. | 280 South First St. | 3140 Boeing Ave. | 1301 Clay Street | DATE AND TIME |
| San Francisco, CA 94102 | San Jose, CA 95113 | McKinleyville, CA 95519 | Oakland, CA 94612 | 3/18/2024 9:00 AM |
| If the document(s) or object the clerk's office or to the | t(s) are produced in adv issuing attorney whose | ance of the date specified, eit name and address appears b | her to the court in an e elow, no appearance i | nvelope delivered to s necessary. |
| The following document(s See Attachment A |) or object(s) shall be pr | roduced: | | |
| NOTE: Subpoena forms requiring the appearance of a witness to testify at a criminal proceeding or to testify and bring documents to a criminal proceeding, must use Form CAND 89A, Subpoena to Testify in a Criminal Case) or for the production of state law enforcement personnel or complaint records (CAND 89C, Subpoena to Produce State Law Enforcement Personnel Or Complaint Records in a Criminal Case) are available at the Court's website: cand.uscourts.gov. | | | | |
| U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE OF | R CLERK OF COURT | DATE | | |
| Click here to enter text. | | CI: 1.1 | 1. | |
| | | Click here to ent | er a date. | |
| (Dy) Deputy Clark | | | | |
| Click here to enter text. | | | | |

ATTORNEY'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER:

Click here to enter text.

CAND 89B (Rev. 6/17) Subpoena to Produce Documents or Objects in a Criminal Case

| PROOF OF SE | ERVICE | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| RECEIVED BY SERVER | DATE Click here to enter a date. | PLACE Click here to enter text. | | | |
| SERVED | DATE Click here to enter a date. | PLACE Click here to enter a date. | | | |
| SERVED ON (PRINT NAME) Click here to enter text. | | FEES AND MILEAGE TENDERED TO WITNESS YES NO AMOUNT \$ Enter amount | | | |
| SERVED BY (PRINT NAME) Click here to enter text. | | TITLE Click here to enter text. | | | |
| | DECLARATION OF SERVER | | | | |
| I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Proof of Service is true and correct. Executed on | | | | | |
| | DATE | SIGNATURE OF SERVER | | | |
| y . | | ADDRESS: Enter info | | | |
| ADDITIONAL IN Click here to en | | | | | |
| Click liefe to el | ner text. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Attachment A

All records and communications between October 1, 2011 and January 31, 2014 relating to the bases for the restatement of Autonomy Systems Limited's ("ASL") 2010 accounts and the filing of ASL's 2011 accounts (collectively, the "ASL Restatement"), including:

- A. Christopher Yelland's communications with representatives of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PwC"), Morgan, Lewis and Bockius LLP ("Morgan Lewis"), Ernst & Young ("EY"), Deloitte, and/or HP's in-house legal department regarding the ASL Restatement and/or the internal investigation by Morgan Lewis and PwC;
- B. Antonia Anderson's communications with representatives of PwC, Morgan Lewis, EY, Deloitte and/or HP's in-house legal department regarding the ASL Restatement and/or the internal investigation by Morgan Lewis and PwC;
- C. Documents and communications generated or received by HP, its affiliates, and its advisors and consultants, such as work product, memoranda, supporting schedules, internal communications between Yelland, Anderson and/or others, or similar documents, explaining the bases for restating each of the transactions at issue in the ASL Restatement.

For the avoidance of doubt, this subpoena seeks all documents in the above categories in unredacted form. Where redacted versions of the documents have previously been produced, or documents have previously been withheld from production, to the United States Department of Justice or the Securities and Exchange Commission based on assertions of the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine, or any other similar privilege, we are seeking unredacted versions of all responsive documents. We have attached a representative example of responsive documents previously produced in redacted form that should be produced unredacted.